

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/628,004	07/28/2000	Kenji Ito	0905-0243P-SP	3116
2292 7	590 06/07/2004		EXAMI	NER
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH & BIF	HARRIS, TIA M		
	.CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2615	5
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/628,004	ITO, KENJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tia M Harris	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND	to be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 March 2004.					
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4 and 6-9 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4 and 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. orrection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applic priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		il Date lal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/628,004

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

The previous 35 USC 112 rejection of claim 5 is now moot due to the cancellation of the claim.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-4 and 6-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-9 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al (hereafter referred to as Song) (US 6542201 B1) in view of Torimaru et al (hereafter referred to as Torimaru) (4589029).

(Claims 6-7) Song discloses a zooming apparatus and method in a digital TV comprising an inherent display device, a downsampling device for downsampling the video signal using a first downsampling-ratio (column 5, lines 34-38, 50-56), a display controller for displaying the image represented by a video signal, which is downsampled by the downsampling device, on the display screen of the display device (column 5, lines 34-38, 50-56), a zoom area designating device for designating a zoom area from the image displayed on the display screen (column 5, lines 9-17), and an electronic zoom device for processing an electronic zoom wherein the image included in the zoom area designated by the zoom area designating device is displayed entirely on the display screen of the display device, using a zoom ratio (column 5, lines 29-33, 38-45, 56-65; see figure 7(f)), comprising a controller for controlling the downsampling device so as to carry out the downsampling processing using a

Application/Control Number: 09/628,004

Art Unit: 2615

second downsampling-ratio, which ratio of downsampling is smaller than the first downsampling ratio, when the zoom ratio at the electronic zoom device is higher than a zoom ratio which enlarges the original image to the image after downsampling using the first downsampling ratio (column 5, lines 23-28, 38-45, 56-65). Although Song does not specifically disclose the apparatus is a digital still camera, Song does state that the teachings described can be readily applied to other types of apparatuses, other than a digital TV (column 7, lines 46-48). It is well known in the art to use electronic zooming as taught by Song in digital cameras, such as disclosed by Torimaru, the digital camera being one of the "other types of apparatuses" discussed by Song. The digital camera of Torimaru comprises an imaging device for imaging a subject and outputting a video signal (see figure 1). Using the electronic zooming method of Song in the camera of Torimaru would assist the user in identifying the object to be photographed because the resolution of the selected portion of the image can be increased. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the electronic zooming method of Song into the camera of Torimaru in order to assist the user in identifying the object to be photographed because the resolution of the selected portion of the image can be increased.

(Claims 3 and 8) Torimaru further discloses the imaging unit outputs a video signal in sync with a synchronization signal applied thereto (column 4, lines 21-55). It would have been obvious to coordinate the downsampling of Song with the shift clocks (synchronization signal) of Torimaru in order to select the correct rows of pixels as the output of video signals is being produced.

(Claims 4 and 9) Song further discloses the camera is provided with a mode setting unit for setting an image-quality priority mode, the controller reducing the downsampling ratio when the image-quality priority mode has been set by the mode setting unit (column 5, lines 18-28).



Art Unit: 2615

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tia M Harris whose telephone number is 703-305-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on 703-308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.



Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMH 5/27/04

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600